Iron(II)-Induced Activation of Hydroperoxides for the Dehydrogenation and Monooxygenation of Organic Substrates in Acetonitrile

Hiroshi Sugimoto and Donald T. Sawyer*[†]

Contribution from the Department of Chemistry, University of California, Riverside, California 92521. Received October 18, 1984. Revised Manuscript Received May 14, 1985

Abstract: Solutions of $Fe^{11}(MeCN)_4(ClO_4)_2$ in dry acetonitrile (MeCN) catalyze the rapid disproportionation of H_2O_2 to O_2 and H_2O_2 , but all of the catalyst remains in the Fe(II) oxidation state. In the presence of organic substrates such as 1,4-cyclohexadiene, 1,2-diphenylhydrazine, catechols, and thiols, the Fe(II)-H2O2/MeCN system yields dehydrogenated products (PhH, PhN==NPh, quinones, and RSSR) with conversion efficiencies that range from 100% to 17%. Although the Fe(II) catalyst does not promote the disproportionation of Me₃COOH or m-ClC₆H₄C(O)OOH, these hydroperoxides are activated for the dehydrogenation of organic substrates. With substrates such as alcohols, aldehydes, methylstyrene, thioethers, sulfoxides, and phosphines, the $Fe^{II}(H_2O_2)^{2+}$ adduct promotes their monooxygenation to aldehydes, carboxylic acids, epoxide, sulfoxides, sulfones, and phosphine oxides, respectively: $Fe(II) + H_2O_2 \rightarrow Fe^{II}(H_2O_2)^{2+} + RH \rightarrow Fe(II) + ROH + H_2O$. The reaction efficiencies for the group of substrates with the Fe(II) adducts that are formed by H_2O_2 , Me₃COOH, and m-ClC₆H₄C(O)OOH have been evaluated. Also, the reaction rates for the substrate-[Fe^{II}(H₂O₂)²⁺] dehydrogenations and monoxygenations relative to that for Ph₂SO have been determined, as have the substituent effects for the monooxygenation of $4-XC_6H_4CH_2OH$ and 4-XC₆H₄CH(O). The Fe^{II}(H₂O₂)²⁺ adduct is an efficient catalyst for the autooxygenation of PhCH(O) to PhC(O)OOH. Mechanisms are proposed for the Fe(II)-induced activation of hydroperoxides for the dehydrogenation and monooxygenation of organic substrates.

A recent Communication¹ described the iron(II)-induced activation of hydrogen peroxide in dry acetonitrile for the efficient dehydrogenation and monooxygenation of organic substrates. This chemistry is unique in that the $Fe^{II}(MeCN)_4(ClO_4)_2$ catalyst rapidly disproportionates H_2O_2 in the absence of substrate but remains in the Fe(II) state (as it does for all of the substrate reactions). Also, the products from the $Fe(II)-H_2O_2/substrate$ reactions in dry MeCN are totally free of those associated with •OH-radical chemistry and the Fenton process.²⁻⁴ Although $Me_2C(O)$ is unreactive, MeCH(O) is monooxygenated, and Me₂SO yields Me₂SO₂ exclusively (with \cdot OH it yields CH₄, C₂H₆, and MeOH via production of methyl radicals).⁴ The reason for the total absence of any •OH-radical chemistry and of any Fe(III) in the product solutions is that the Fe(III)/Fe(II) redox couple in dry MeCN is +1.6 V vs. NHE, rather than +0.4 V (H₂O at pH 7).¹ This makes H_2O_2 an inadequate one-electron oxidant for Fe(II) in dry MeCN.

The present study has been directed to a detailed evaluation of the stoichiometries, kinetics, and product distributions for the iron(II)-induced dehydrogenations and monooxygenations for an extensive group of model substrates. The relative efficiencies for these processes when H_2O_2 , Me_3COOH , and m-ClC₆ $H_4C(O)$ -OOH are used as oxidants and oxygenases have been determined, as have the effects of substrate substituents on their reaction rates. These results provide insight into the nature of the $Fe^{11}(H_2O_2)^{2+1}$ reactive intermediate and a basis for the development of reasonable reaction mechanisms for the dehydrogenation and monooxygenation processes.

Experimental Section

Equipment. The reaction products were separated and identified with a Hewlett-Packard Model 5880 gas chromatograph that was equipped with a 12.5-m glass capillary column and by mass spectrometry (VG Industries ZAB reverse-geometry double-sector system with a GC sample inlet). UV-vis measurements were made with a Cary Model 219 spectrophotometer.

Chemicals and Reagents. Burdick and Jackson "Distilled in Glass" acetonitrile (<0.004% H₂O) was dried further by passing it through a column of Woelm N Super I alumina. The anhydrous Fe^{ll}(MeCN)₄- $(ClO_4)_2$ salt was prepared by repeated recrystallization of Fe^{II}(H₂O)₆-(ClO₄) (reagent grade, G. Frederick Smith Chemical Co.) from dry MeCN. Pure H_2O_2 (assay >98%)⁵ was prepared from 30% H_2O_2 (J. T.

Baker). Careful removal of water from 10 mL of 30% H₂O₂ at 0 °C under high vacuum gave 2.5-3 mL of pure hydrogen peroxide. This was quickly dissolved into dry acetonitrile (25 mL) to make an approximately 1 M solution. The resulting solution was assayed by permanganate titration⁶ (the presence of acetonitrile did not interfere with the titration). The acetonitrile solution of H₂O₂ was stable at 0 °C; its assay did not change over a period of 1 month. Other reagents and substrates were analytical grade or highest purity available and were used without further purification.

Hazard Warning! Pure H_2O_2 is an exceptional oxidant. Trace quantities of reduced transition-metal ions can initiate its violent decomposition and oxidation of organic materials. Exercise extreme care, use adequate safety protection, and work with small quantities during the course of its purification, storage, and use.

Methods. The studies of substrate reactivitites with the hydroperoxide adducts (H₂O₂, Me₃COOH, and m-ClC₆H₄C(O)OOH) of Fe¹¹- $(MeCN)_4(ClO_4)_2$ made use of a 30-mL glass cell with 0.5-1.0 mmol of substrate (RH) and 0.1-1.0 mmol of Fe^{II}(MeCN)_4(ClO_4)_2 in 10 mL of CH₃CN. (The concentrations, the reaction temperatures, and reaction times are given in the tables.) The solution was deaerated by bubbling with argon gas for 5 min prior to the slow addition of 0.5-1.0 mL of 1 M hydroperoxide in MeCN. The resulting product solution was poured into water saturated with NaCl and extracted with diethyl ether (10 mL). The ether layer was washed with NaCl-saturated water, dried with anhydrous Na₂SO₄, and analyzed by GC. Unknown product species were identified by GC-MS and confirmed by reference samples. The quantity of the product was calculated from a standard curve for the authentic sample or by comparison of the peak area to that for a standard material in the solution (biphenyl). The aqueous layer was assayed for residual Fe^{11} , Fe^{111} , and H_2O_2 by permanganate titration⁶ or by colorimetry with 1,10-o-phenanthroline or thiocyanate.6 Within the time intervals of the experiments (5-15 min), the hydroperoxides did not react with MeCN or with the substrates in the absence of $Fe^{11}(MeCN)_4(ClO_4)_2$.

Results

The slow addition of dilute H_2O_2 [pure H_2O_2 (98%) in dry acetonitrile (MeCN)] to a solution that contains iron(II) [FeII- $(MeCN)_4(ClO_4)_2$ and an organic substrate (RH) in dry MeCN

⁺Present address: Department of Chemistry, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas 77843.

Sugimoto, H.; Sawyer, D. T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106. 4283.
 Walling, C. Acc. Chem. Res. 1976, 9, 175.
 Dorfman, L. M.; Adams, G. E. "Reactivity of the Hydroxyl Radical in Aqueous Solutions"; U.S. Government Printing Office: Washington, D.C., June 1978; NSRDS-NBS 46.

⁽⁴⁾ Gilbert, B. C.; Norman, R. O. C.; Sealy, R. C. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 1975, 303

⁽⁵⁾ Brauer, G., Ed. "Handbook of Preparative Inorganic Chemistry";
Academic Press: New York, 1963; pp 140–142.
(6) Vogel, A. I. "A Textbook of Quantitative Inorganic Analysis Including

Elementary Instrumental Analysis"; Longmans: New York, 1961.

Table I. Conversion Efficiencies for Fe(II)-ROOH [R = H, Me₃C, m-ClC₆H₄C(O)] Induced Dehydrogenations and Monooxygenations of Organic Substrates (RH) in Acetonitrile^{*a*}

	reaction efficiency, ^b %		
substrate reaction	H ₂ O ₂ ^c	Me ₃ COOH	m-ClC ₆ H ₄ C(O)OOH
A. Dehydrog	enations		
$H_2O_2 \rightarrow O_2$	100		
$1, 4 - c - C_6 H_8 \rightarrow PhH$	59	100	53
$1,3-c-C_6H_8 \rightarrow [PhH/(C_6H_7)_2]$	86 [1/2]	89 [2/1]	41 [1/2.5]
$PhNHNHPh \rightarrow PhN = NPh$	100	75	100
$3,5-(t-Bu)_2-1,2-(OH)_2C_6H_2 \rightarrow 3,5-(t-Bu)_2-o-benzoquinone$	100	70	90
$2PhCH_2SH \rightarrow PhCH_2SSCH_2Ph$	34/2	32/2	10/2
$2PhSH \rightarrow PhSSPh$	68/2	32/2	10/2
B. Monooxys	genations		
$c-C_6H_{11}OH \rightarrow C_6H_{10}(O)$	47	27	45
$MeCH_2OH \rightarrow MeCH(O)$	70	20	10
$PhCH_{2}OH \rightarrow PhCH(O)$	100	72	48
$PhCH_{2}OCMe_{3} \rightarrow PhCH(O) + Me_{3}COOH$	30	70	60
$MeCH(O) \rightarrow MeC(O)OH$	20	10	27
$PhCH(O) \rightarrow PhC(O)OH$	28	9	28
0			
$PhCH = CHMe \rightarrow PhCH - CHPh/[PhCH(O) + MeCH(O)]$	16 [1/3]	13 [1/4]	29 [9/1]
-0-	PO [7/2]	80 [4/1]	85 [10/1]
$PhCH \rightarrow CHMe \rightarrow dloxale (dlilel) + [PhCH(0) + MeCH(0)]$	00[//5]	00 [4/1]	05 [19/1]
$PnCH_3 \rightarrow PnCH_2OH [+PnCH(O), CH_3Pn(OH)]$	0.5	2	15
$(C - C_6 \Pi_{11})_2 S \rightarrow (C - C_6 \Pi_{11})_2 S O$	33	0	51
$F_{1123} \rightarrow F_{11230}$	100	1	71
$rn_2 = 0 \rightarrow rn_2 = 0$	100	100	47
$rn_3r \rightarrow rn_3rO$	100	100	4/

^aTo 1.0 mmol of substrate and 0.5 mmol of [Fe^{II}(MeCN)₄](ClO₄)₂ in 10 mL of MeCN was added slowly 0.5 mmol of hydroperoxide (1 M ROOH in MeCN). Reaction time and temperature: 23 °C for 5 min (1,4-c-C₆H₈, 1,3-c-C₆H₈, PhNHNHPh, PhCH₂SH, PhSH, c-C₆H₁₁OH, CH₃CH(O), PhCH₃, Ph₂SO, and Ph₃P); 5 °C for 10 min (3,5-(t-Bu)₂-1,2-(OH)₂C₆H₂, C₂H₅OH, PhCH₂OH, PhCH₂OCMe₃, PhCH=CHMe, -O_

PhCH—CHMe, (c-C₆H₁₁)₂S, and Ph₂S). ^b 100% represents one substrate dehydrogenation or oxygenation per ROOH added to the reaction system. In the case of H₂O₂ all of it is consumed, either by oxygenation of substrate or by disproportionation to O₂ and H₂O. Starting material is recovered for those substrates that react with less than 100% efficiency. ^cThese numbers represent a crude measure of the relative extent of reaction for the adduct with substrate and H₂O₂.

(<0.004% H₂O) results in the dehydrogenation (oxidation) or monooxygenation of RH. The net reactions and the conversion efficiencies (moles of substrate per mole of H₂O₂) for 1:0.5:0.5 RH-Fe(II)-H₂O₂ combinations of several organic substrates are summarized in Table I. The results from studies with Me₃COOH and *m*-ClC₆H₄C(O)OOH as the oxidant in place of H₂O₂ also are included. In sharp contrast to aqueous Fe(II)-H₂O₂ radical chemistry, the products from the RH-Fe(II)-ROOH combination in dry acetonitrile are characteristic of oxidase (dehydrogenation) and monooxygenation reactions. The total absence of products from •OH-radical chemistry and of any Fe(III) in the product solutions confirms that classical Fenton chemistry does not occur.¹

In the absence of substrate, the combination of Fe(II) and H_2O_2 in dry MeCN results in the latter's rapid disproportionation to O_2 and H_2O , but the Fe(II) remains unoxidized. Similar combinations of Fe(II) with Me₃COOH or *m*-ClC₆H₄C(O)OOH do not promote their rapid disproportionation but rather facilitate a slow hydrolysis process (ROOH + $H_2O \rightarrow ROH + H_2O_2$, assayed for ROH).

Because all of the H_2O_2 is consumed in the experiments of Table I, the reaction efficiency for the Fe(II)- H_2O_2 system represents a crude measure of the relative rate of reaction for the adduct with substrate and H_2O_2 .

The relative order of the reaction rates for the substrates of Table I has been determined via a series of competition experiments with equimolar amounts of RH and Ph₂SO. Hence, the extent of oxidative conversion of RH relative to Ph₂SO from the slow addition of dilute H_2O_2 (0.5 mmol) to an MeCN solution that contains 0.5 mmol of RH, 0.5 mmol of Ph₂SO, and 0.5 mmol of Fe(II) has been measured; the results are summarized in Table II as relative amounts reacted. The bond energies for the weakest R-H bond of the substrates also are tabulated.⁷

In general, the [Fe(II)-ROOH]-induced dehydrogenations and monooxygenations for the substrates in Table I exhibit a first-order

Table II.	Relative 1	Extent of	Reaction for	Fe ¹¹ (H ₂	$(O_2)^{2+}$ -Induced
Dehydrog	enations a	nd Mono	oxygenations	of Orga	anic Substrates
(RH) in A	Acetonitril	e ^a		-	

· · · · · ·	relative amt reacted ^b	R-H bond	
substrate reaction	(RH/Ph_2SO)	energy, ^c kcal	
A. Dehydroge	nations		
$1,4\text{-}c\text{-}C_6H_8 \rightarrow PhH$	8.7	74	
$1,3-c-C_6H_8 \rightarrow PhH + (C_6H_7)_2$	8.7	70	
$PhNHNHPh \rightarrow PhN=NPh$	5.4		
$2PhCH_2SH \rightarrow PhCH_2SSCH_2Ph$	1.3(2.6/2)	86	
$2PhSH \rightarrow PhSSPh$	2.2 (4.4/2)	83	
B. Monooxyge	nations		
$c-C_6H_{11}OH \rightarrow C_6H_{10}(O)$	0.12	95	
$MeCH_2OH \rightarrow MeCH(O)$	0.17	90	
$PhCH_2OH \rightarrow PhCH(O)$	0.27	80	
$PhCH_2OCMe_3 \rightarrow PhCH(O)$	0.32	80	
$MeCH(O) \rightarrow MeC(O)OH$	0.48	85	
$PhCH(O) \rightarrow PhC(O)OH$	0.57	80	
PhCH=CHMe \rightarrow PhCH-CHMe + PhCH(O) + MeCH(O)	0.54		
$(c-C_6H_{11})_2S \rightarrow (C_6H_{11})_2SO$	0.55		
$Ph_2S \rightarrow Ph_2SO$	0.26		
$Ph_2SO \rightarrow Ph_2SO_2$	1.00		
$Ph_{3}P \rightarrow Ph_{3}PO$	16.1		

^a To the acetonitrile solution (10 mL) of the substrates (0.5 mmol each) and [Fe^{II}(MeCN)₄](ClO₄)₂ (0.5 mmol) was slowly added 1 M H_2O_2 (0.5 mmol) under argon at 23 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred for 5 min. After the extraction with ether, the relative extent of conversion was determined on the basis of the residual substrates as determined by gas chromatography. ^b The numbers represent the relative extent for the oxidative conversion from the addition of 0.5 mmol of H_2O_2 to 0.5 mmol of RH and 0.5 mmol of Ph₂SO in 10 mL of MeCN. ^c Reference 7.

dependence on the RH and ROOH concentrations for their reaction efficiencies (and reaction rates). The results for another series of competitive reactions for the $4-XC_6H_4CH_2OH$ and 4-

^{(7) &}quot;CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics", 61st ed.; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, 1980-1981; p F-235.

Table III. Relative Extent of Reaction for the $Fe^{11}(H_2O_2)^{2+}$ -Induced Monooxygenation of p-XC₆H₄CH₂OH and p-XC₆H₄CH(O) in Acetonitrile

	relative amt reacted ^a		
substituent (X)	p-XC ₆ H ₄ CH ₂ OH ^b	$p-XC_6H_4CH(O)^b$	
MeO	4.4 (10)	0.8 (1.0)	
Me	1.3 (1.0)	0.8 (1.0)	
Н	1.0 (1.0)	1.0 (1.0)	
Cl	1.0 (0.9)		
F	1.0 (1.0)	1.1 (0.9)	
NO ₂	0.6 (0.7)	1.4 (0.4)	

^a The numbers represent the relative extent for the oxidative conversion from the addition of 0.5 mmol of H_2O_2 to 0.5 mmol of Fe^{II}-(MeCN)₄(ClO₄)₂, 0.5 mmol of *p*-XC₆H₄CH₂OH [or *p*-XC₆H₄CH-(O)], and 0.5 mmol of PhCH₂OH [or PhCH(O)] in 5-10 mL of MeCN. ^b Data in parentheses for *m*-ClC₆H₄C(O)OOH in place of H₂O₂.

 $XC_6H_4CH(O)$ substrates (where X = H, MeO, Me, Cl, F, and NO₂) provide a measure of substituent effects on the conversion efficiencies. The extent of the oxidative conversion of 4- $XC_6H_4CH_2OH$ (or 4- $XC_6H_4CH(O)$) from the slow addition of H₂O₂ (0.5 mmol) to equimolar amounts of 4- $XC_6H_4CH_2OH$ and Ph₂CH₂OH (0.5 mmol each) and 0.5 mmol of Fe(II) in dry MeCN has been determined; the conversion rates are summarized in Table III as relative amounts reacted. Use of Me₃COOH in place of H₂O₂ yields essentially the same results for the 4- $XC_6H_4CH_2OH$ and 4- $XC_6H_4CH(O)$ substrates; the data that result from the use of *m*-ClC₆H₄C(O)OOH in place of H₂O₂ are listed in parentheses in Table III.

All of the results in Tables I–III are for experiments with an argon atmosphere. The effect of dissolved molecular oxygen (1 atm) on the extent of the RH–[Fe^{II}(H₂O₂)²⁺] reactions has been determined for several substrates and the results are summarized in Table IV. With the exception of PhCH(O), the effect is small and within the limits of experimental error. However, the presence of O₂ prevents the formation of any (c-C₆H₇)₂ dimer from the (Fe^{II}–H₂O₂)-induced dehydrogenation of 1,3-cyclohexadiene. The presence of O₂ (at 1 atm) enhances the conversion efficiency for PhCH(O) by a factor of 370 and causes all of the Fe(II) catalyst to be oxidized but has a negligible effect on the oxygenation of PhCH₂OH. This result indicates that the Fe(II)–H₂O₂ reagent can induce the autooxygenation of PhCH(O).

Discussion and Conclusions

Nature of the Iron(II)-ROOH Reactive Intermediate. The reactive intermediates from the iron(II)-induced activation of hydroperoxides have not been detected by physical methods, which precludes any structural conclusions. However, the present results, as well as those from the preliminary study,¹ indicate that the iron(II) center is acting as an electrophile (Lewis acid) rather than as an electron-transfer catalyst. Thus, the interactions by hydroperoxides and substrates with the acidic iron center will be via their electron-rich centers. In the absence of substrate, the electron pairs of the two O atoms of ROOH are the most basic centers of the system and can interact with the iron center in a side-on fashion or rearrange the proton to give an end-on acid-base interaction.



The side-on interaction (1) should homolytically weaken the O-O

bond and cause the adduct to have some biradical character. Likewise, the end-on interaction (2) should heterolytically weaken the O-O bond to give some oxene or ferryl character to the adduct.

The addition of H_2O_2 to a solution of $Fe^{II}(MeCN)_4(ClO_4)_2$ that does not contain substrate results in its rapid disproportionation to O_2 and H_2O . A reasonable mechanism involves the side-on configuration (1) for the $Fe^{II}(H_2O_2)^{2+}$ adduct and a concerted transfer of the two hydrogen atoms of the second H_2O_2 .



This dehydrogenation of H_2O_2 is a competitive process with that for the Fe^{II}(H_2O_2)/substrate reactions (Table I). The controlled introduction of dilute H_2O_2 into an Fe(II)/substrate solution limits the concentration of H_2O_2 and ensures that an Fe^{II}(H_2O_2)²⁺/ substrate reaction can be competitive with reaction 2. Thus, the substrate reaction efficiency is proportional to the relative rates of reaction ($k_{\rm RH}/k_{\rm H_2O_2}$).

The unique feature of this system for activation of ROOH to give oxidase and monooxygenase chemistry is that the solution matrix for the iron(II) catalyst causes the Fe(III)/Fe(II) redox potential to be greater than +1.6 V vs. NHE, which precludes the one-electron oxidation of Fe(II) by ROOH and the associated Fenton chemistry. This is confirmed by the nonreactivity of ketones [Me₂C(O)] and the exclusive monooxygenation of Me₂SO (in contrast, •OH radicals induce production of Me- radicals).^{1,4} The highly positive Fe(III)/Fe(II) redox potential results from the absence of effective ligands in the solvent matrix, which means that the substrates and ROOH represent effective ligands and preferentially bind to the Fe^{II}(MeCN)₄²⁺ catalytic center.

Iron(II)-Induced Activation of Hydroperoxides for the Dehydrogenation of Organic Substrates. The results of Table I confirm that the Fe^{II}(ROOH)²⁺ adducts are effective dehydrogenation agents for substrates such as cyclohexadienes, substituted hydrazines, catechols, and thiols. For PhNHNHPh and 3,5-(*t*-Bu)₂-1,2-(OH)₂C₆H₂ the reaction efficiencies are comparable for H₂O₂ and *m*-ClC₆H₄C(O)OOH as the oxidant but somewhat reduced for Me₃COOH. This may indicate that the side-on complex (1, eq 1) is the dominant reactive form (as it is when H₂O₂ is the substrate (eq 2)) and that Me₃COOH is hindered from assuming this configuration. Alternatively, the end-on configuration (2) and its tendency to have some oxene character will be favored for H₂O₂ and *m*-ClC₆H₄C(O)OOH.

1,4-Cyclohexadiene yields only benzene for the three Fe-(II)-ROOH adducts. Either the bound substrate induces the homolytic scission of the RO-OH bond (eq 1) to give bound ROand •OH (1, eq 1) for the concerted removal of two H atoms from the substrate or the oxene-like character of the end-on configuration (2, eq 1) results in the same concerted removal of two H atoms. For the 1:1 1,3-cyclohexadiene-Fe¹¹(ROOH)²⁺ adducts, a major fraction of the product is the (c-C₆H₇)₂ dimer, especially for high substrate-Fe(II) ratios and for H₂O₂ or m-ClC₆H₄C-(O)OOH as the oxidants (Table I). This prompts us to suggest that the dimer results from a (1,3-c-C₆H₈)₂Fe¹¹ adduct that is susceptible to the concerted removal of an allylic hydrogen from each (via either configuration 1 or 2)

Table IV. $Fe^{II}(H_2O_2)^{2+}$ -Induced Autooxygenation of Organic Substrates in Acetonitrile^{*a*}

	conversion efficiency, ^b %		
substrate reaction	Ar (1 atm)	$O_2 (1 \text{ atm})^c$	
A. Deh	ydrogenation		
$1,4-c-C_6H_8 \rightarrow PhH$	42 ± 5	59 ± 6	
$1,3-c-C_6H_8 \rightarrow [PhH +$	23 [83% PhH]	18 [100% PhH]	
$(C_{6}H_{7})_{2}$			
$PhSH \rightarrow PhSSPh$	46/2	55	
$PhCH_2SH \rightarrow PhCH_2SSCH_2Ph$	54/2	20	
B. Mon	looxygenation		
$PhCH_{2}OH \rightarrow PhCH(O)$	$8(19)^d$	$7 (13)^d$	
$PhCH(O) \rightarrow PhC(O)OH$	1	370 [100% Fe(III)]	

^a To a 10-mL acetonitrile solution that contained 1.0 mmol of substrate and 0.1 mmol of Fe^{II}(MeCN)₄(ClO₄)₂ was added slowly 0.1 mmol of H₂O₂ in 0.1 mL of acetonitrile at 23 °C. After the solution was stirred for 5 min, it was quenched, extracted with ether, and analyzed by gas chromatography. ^b 100% represents one substrate dehydrogenation or oxygenation per H₂O₂ added to the system. ^cOxygen was bubbled through the solution during the reaction. ^d For 0.2 mmol of Fe(II) and 0.2 mmol of H₂O₂.

Support for such a process is provided by the absence of any $(C_6H_7)_2$ dimer as a product when O_2 is present in the reaction matrix (Table IV). Thus, with O_2 present the initial formation of $c-C_6H_7$ in the reactive complex apparently is followed by a coupling to give $c-C_6H_7OO$, which then dissociates to PhH and HO_2 (eq 4).⁸ This radical-radical coupling by $\cdot O_2$ precludes dimerization of the $c-C_6H_7$ radicals and results in benzene as the only product.

$$2(1,3-c-C_{6}H_{8}) + Fe(II) + H_{2}O_{2} \xrightarrow{O_{2}}$$

$$\left[\underbrace{0}_{0} \underbrace{0}_{2} Fe^{II}(H_{2}O)_{2} \right]^{2+} - 2PhH + \\ E^{2HO_{2}} - H_{2}O_{2} + O_{2}I + Fe^{II}(H_{2}O)_{2}^{2+} (4)$$

Although H_2S is oxygenated to H_2SO_4 by the $Fe^{II}-H_2O_2$ adduct (four H_2O_2 molecules per H_2S),¹ thiols (both aromatic and aliphatic) are dehydrogenated by the $Fe^{II}(ROOH)^{2+}$ complex to give disulfides as the only product. The reaction stoichiometry of two RSH molecules per ROOH is the same as for the dimerization of 1,3-c-C₆H₈ (eq 3); a similar bisadduct for the reaction complex probably is formed (eq 5). Because the side-on configuration

$$2RSH + Fe(II) + ROOH \rightarrow [(RSH)_2 \cdot 1]^{2+} \rightarrow RSSR + Fe^{II}(H_2O)(ROH)^{2+} (5)$$

(1, eq 1) of the Fe^{II}(ROOH)²⁺ adduct appears to be the reactive form, the lower yields for the m-ClC₆H₄C(O)OOH oxidant may indicate that the end-on configuration (2, eq 1) is dominant for the peracid. The chemistry of reaction 5 is equivalent to that of *glutathione peroxidase* (an enzyme that contains selenocysteine in its active site),⁹ and the Fe^{II}(ROOH)²⁺ complex may represent a reaction mimic for the enzyme.

There is a rough inverse correlation between the relative reaction rates of Table II and the weakest R-H bond energy of the substrates. This is in accord with the biradical and homolytic bond-scission mechanisms that are proposed in eq 3-5.

Iron(II)-Induced Activation of Hydroperoxides for the Monooxygenations of Organic Substrates. The results of Table I establish that the Fe(II)-ROOH adducts in dry MeCN are effective monooxygenases for alcohols (and for activated olefins, thioethers, sulfoxides, and phosphines). The reaction rate for PhCH₂OH is about twice as fast as for MeCH₂OH (Table II), probably because the C-H bond energy of the methylene group of the former is 10 kcal lower. Also, the reaction rates for a given alcohol are in the order $H_2O_2 > Me_3COOH > m-ClC_6H_4C(O)OOH$ (Table I), and the rate of oxygenation for PhCH₂OCMe₃ by the Fe¹¹-(Me₃COOH)²⁺ adduct is essentially the same as that for PhCH₂OH (ratio of reactivity: PhCH₂OH/PhCH₂OCMe₃ = 1.0/1.2).

The data of Table III for p-XC₆H₄CH₂OH indicate that the substituent effect is greater by a factor of 7 for X = MeO over that for X = NO₂. This substituent effect is too small for a hydride-transfer mechanism (from the α -carbon) but is compatible with a radical-intermediate process with an initial H-atom transfer.

All of these results indicate that the $Fe^{II}(ROOH)^{2+}$ adduct of eq 1 oxygenates alcohols (and ethers) and that H_2O_2 and Me₃COOH are more reactive than *m*-ClC₆H₄C(O)OOH. A mechanism that is consistent with these observations involves either (1) the homolytic scission of the side-on RO-OH bond [1, eq 1; induced by the bound substrate (ROH)] and the subsequent abstraction by RO· of a H atom from the α -carbon or (2) the direct abstraction by the oxene oxygen of the end-on configuration (2, eq 1) of a H atom from the α -carbon and the subsequent addition of the resulting OH group to the carbon radical (eq 6).

$$PhCH_{2}OH + Fe(II) + ROOH \longrightarrow \left(PhC_{2}OH + Fe(II) + ROOH \longrightarrow (PhC_{2}OH)(ROH) \right)^{2+}$$

$$PhCH(OH)_{2}Fe^{II}(ROH) + Fe^{II}(H_{2}O)(ROH)^{2+} (6)$$

The resulting hemiacetal dissociates to give the aldehyde and H_2O [R'OH when the substrate is an ether (ROR')]. Because ethers are as reactive as alcohols and give the same aldehyde product, the process must be a monooxygenation to the hemiacetal rather than a dehydrogenation (concerted removal of hydrogen atoms from the α -carbon and the OH group).

Reference to the data of Tables I and II confirms that the $Fe^{II}(ROOH)^{2+}$ activated complexes from H_2O_2 , Me_3COOH , and m-ClC₆H₄C(O)OOH are effective monooxygenases for aldehydes. The substituent data of Table III for $4-XC_6H_4CH(O)$ also are compatible with a biradical mechanism. However, there is an inverse substituent effect to that for alcohols, and the much higher rates when H_2O_2 and m-ClC₆H₄C(O)OOH are the oxidants relative to those for Me₃COOH may indicate that the oxene character of the end-on configuration (**2**, eq 1) makes it the dominant reactive complex (eq 7). This same enhanced reactivity

PhCH(O) + Fe(II) + ROOH →

$$[[PhCH(O)]\cdot 2 \rightarrow [Ph\dot{C}(O)]Fe(\cdot OH)(ROH)]^{2+} \rightarrow$$
PhC(O)OH + Fe^{II}(ROH)²⁺ (7)

for the H_2O_2 and *m*-ClC₆ $H_4C(O)OOH$ adducts of Fe(II) relative to that for Me₃COOH is observed for substrates that undergo an O-atom addition rather than a biradical process. Apparently, the Fe^{II}(Me₃COOH)²⁺ adduct has a limited tendency to take on significant oxene character in the end-on configuration (**2**, eq 1).

The dramatic enhancement by molecular oxygen of the rate and extent of the $Fe^{II}(H_2O_2)^{2+}$ -PhCH(O) reaction (Table IV) is indicative of an autooxygenation process. Thus, the formation of the reactive intermediate complex provides a biradical center than can couple with triplet oxygen ($\cdot O_2$ ·) to give PhC(O)OOin an initiation step (eq 8a). The coupling by $\cdot O_2$ · with the carbon

Initiation

PhCH(O) + Fe(II) + H₂O₂ →
[[PhCH(O)]Fe^{II}(H₂O₂) → [PhC(O)]Fe(·OH)(H₂O)]²⁺
$$\xrightarrow{\cdot O_2}$$

PhC(O)OO· + Fe^{III}(OH)(H₂O)²⁺ (8a)

radical leaves an [Fe(-OH)] center, which goes to the observed $Fe^{III}(OH)^{2+}$ product. The peroxy radical [PhC(O)OO-] from the initiation step apparently abstracts a H atom from a second PhCH(O) and the resulting PhC(O) radical couples with another $\cdot O_{2^*}$ in the propagation step (eq 8b) The Fe(II)-catalyzed oxy-

⁽⁸⁾ Howard, J. A.; Ingold, K. V. Can. J. Chem. 1967, 45, 785.

⁽⁹⁾ Nicholls, P. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1972, 279, 306.

Propagation

$$PhC(O)OO + PhCH(O) \rightarrow PhC(O)OOH + Ph\dot{C}(O)$$

$$Ph\dot{C}(O) \xrightarrow{O_2} PhC(O)OO$$
 (8b)

genation of another PhCH(O) by the peracid represents a second propagation step (eq 8c)

$$PhCH(O) + PhC(O)OOH \xrightarrow{Fe(II)} 2PhC(O)OH \quad (8c)$$

The sum of these processes is an $Fe^{II}(H_2O_2)^{2+}$ -catalyzed autooxygenation of PhCH(O) (eq 9)

$$2PhCH(O) + O_2 \xrightarrow{Fe^{ii}(H_2O_2)^{2^+}} 2PhC(O)OH$$
(9)

The monooxygenation of methylstyrene (PhCH=CHMe) to form the epoxide (Table I) appears to involve an O-atom transfer from the end-on configuration (2, eq 1) of the Fe^{II}(ROOH)²⁺ complex (eq 10a). However, a significant fraction of the products

PhCH=CHMe + Fe(II) + ROOH
$$\longrightarrow$$
 [(PhCH=CHMe)2]²⁺ \longrightarrow
PhCH-CHMe + Fe^{II}(ROH)²⁺ (10a)

from this substrate (75% for H_2O_2 and 80% for Me_3COOH , Table I) is the result of a dioxygenation to give PhCH(O) and MeCH-(O). When *m*-ClC₆H₄C(O)OOH is the oxidant, only 10% of the PhCH=CHMe that reacts is dioxygenated. These results are consistent with the proposition that the end-on configuration of the Fe(II)-*m*-ClC₆H₄C(O)OOH adduct has the most oxene character and favors O-atom transfer to PhCH=CHMe and that the Fe(II)-H₂O₂ and Fe(II)-Me₃COOH adducts react via a biradical mechanism. A reasonable mechanistic pathway that involves two ROOH molecules per PhCH=CHMe molecule is presented in eq 10b. The latter process is dominant when the

PhCH=CHMe + Fe(II) + 2ROOH
$$\longrightarrow$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} (PhCH-CHMe)Fe^{II}(ROOH) & (PhCH) & (MeCH) & (Me$$

ROOH concentration is greater than that for the Fe(II) catalyst. When the oxidant is H_2O_2 and is in excess relative to Fe(II) and substrate, the disproportionation process of eq 2 is favored via an activated dioxygen intermediate (3, eq 2), which dioxygenates aromatic olefins [e.g., PhCH=CHPh \rightarrow 2PhCH(O)].¹ Hence, PhCH=CHMe probably is subject to dioxygenation by this activated intermediate [Fe¹¹(H₂O₂)₂²⁺] (eq 11).



The limited activity of the $Fe^{11}(Me_3COOH)^{2+}$ adduct with thioethers (R_2S) and sulfoxides (R_2SO) (Table I) probably results because it has the least oxene character. The simplest pathway to the monooxygenated products for these substrates is via O-atom transfer from the end-on complex (2, eq 1) with the bound sub-

Ph₂S + Fe(II) + ROOH →
$$[(Ph_2S)2]^{2+}$$
 →
Ph₂SO + Fe^{II}(ROH)²⁺ (12)
Ph₂SO + Fe(II) + ROOH → $[(Ph_2SO)2]^{2+}$ →

$$Ph_2SO_2 + Fe^{11}(ROH)^{2+}$$
 (13)

strates inducing the heterolytic formation of a reactive oxygen atom from the bound (OOH(R)) group [R = H or m-ClC₆H₄C(O)]. Phosphines (e.g., Ph₃P) probably are monooxygenated by a mechanism analogous to that for Ph₂S (eq 12).

The formulations of eq 1 and 2 for the reactive forms of the Fe(II)-ROOH adducts represent unique electrophilic centers that are consistent with reasonable monooxygenase (1 and 2) and dioxygenase (3) reaction mechanisms. The monooxygenase formulations (1 and 2, eq 1) also are consistent with the redox stoichiometry of the cytochrome P-450 cycle¹⁰ and represent a form of oxygen activation that promotes electrophilic abstraction of a hydrogen atom (or O-atom transfer) to give radical (or oxene) activated intermediates¹¹ and the monooxygenation of cytochrome P-450 substrates (Table I).

Acknowledgment. This work was supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. CHE-8212299.

Registry No. $Fe^{II}(MeCN)_4(CIO_4)_2$, 97690-72-7; H_2O_2 , 7722-84-1; Me_3COOH , 75-91-2; *m*-CIC₆H₄CO₃H, 937-14-4; 1,4-c-C₆H₈, 628-41-1; 1,3-c-C₆H₈, 592-57-4; PhNHNHPh, 122-66-7; 3,5-(*t*-Bu)₂-1,2-(OH)₂C₆H₂, 1020-31-1; PhCH₂SH, 100-53-8; PhSH, 108-98-5; C₆H₁₁-OH, 108-93-0; MeCH₂OH, 64-17-5; PhCH₂OH, 100-51-6; PhCH₂OCMe₃, 3459-80-1; MeCH(O), 75-07-0; PhCH(O), 100-52-7; PhCH=CHMe, 637-50-3; PhCHOCHMe, 20697-03-4; PhCH₃, 108-88-3; (c-C₆H₁₁)₂S, 7133-46-2; Ph₂S, 139-66-2; Ph₂SO, 945-51-7; Ph₃H, 603-35-0; *p*-MeO₆H₄CH₂OH, 105-13-5; *p*-MeC₆H₄CH₂OH, 873-76-7; *p*-FC₆H₄CH₂OH, 459-56-3; *p*-O₂NC₆H₄CH₂OH, 619-73-8; *p*-MeOC₆H₄CHO, 123-11-5; *p*-MeC₆H₄CHO, 104-87-0; *p*-FC₆H₄CHO, 459-57-4; *p*-O₂NC₆H₄CHO, 555-16-8.

⁽¹⁰⁾ Guengerich, F. P.; Macdonald, T. L. Acc. Chem. Res. 1984, 17, 9.
(11) Groves, J. T.; McClusky, G. A.; White, R. E.; Coon, M. J. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 1978, 81, 154.